PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference CI0111PCT				FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.				International filing da	ite (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/EP2004/008265				23.07.200		23.07.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC											
B26D5/02, B26D7/26											
22020,02, 2202.,20											
Applican	t										
CFS	KEME	TEN	GMBH								
						The Land Committee And					
1.	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.										
2.	This RE	PORT co	onsists of a tot	al of	sheets, includin	g this cover sheet.					
3.	This rep	ort is als	o accompanie	by ANNEXES, comprising	;						
	a. 🛛	(sent	to the applica	nt and to the International B	ureau) a total of 5	sheets, as follows:					
			sheets of the	description, claims and/or dr	awings which have been a	amended and are the basis for this report and/or					
	sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).										
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental										
	Box. b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))										
	b	(sem	to the meent	nonai Bureau omy) a tota o	(maleur type une men						
	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see										
				dministrative Instructions).	as marcared in the suppl						
4.	This rep	ort cont	ains indication	s relating to the following ite	ens:						
	\boxtimes	Box No.	. I Basi	s of the report							
		Box No.	. II Prio	ity							
		Box No.	. III Non	establishment of opinion wit	th regard to novelty, inven	ntive step and industrial applicability					
	同	Box No.		of unity of invention							
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Box No	v Rea	soned statement under Article	: 35(2) with regard to nov	elty, i nventive step or industrial applicability;					
	<u></u>	DOY 140	citat	ions and explanations suppor	ting such statement						
	Ш	Box No	. VI Cert	ain documents cited							
		Box No	. VII Cert	ain defects in the internation	al application						
}		Box No	. VIII Cert	ain observations on the intern	national application						
Date of	submissi	on of the	demand		Date of completion of t	his report					
1											
Name a	nd mailin	g addres	s of the IPEA	EP	Authorized officer						
Facsimile No.					Telephone No.						
* *************************************											

Translation

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/008265

Box No. I	Basis of the report										
	h regard to the language, this report is based on the internation cated under this item.	onal application in the language in w	hich it was filed, unless otherwise								
	This report is based on translations from the original langu which is the language of a translation furnished for the pur	age into the following language poses of:	,								
	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))										
	publication of the international application (Rule 12.	4)									
	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and										
rece	2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):										
	the international application as originally filed/furnished										
	the description:										
	pages 1-20		as originally filed/furnished								
	pages*	received by this Authority on									
	pages*	received by this Authority on _									
	the claims:										
	nos.		as originally filed/furnished								
	nos.*		with any statement) under Article 19								
	nos.* 1-35		23.06.2005 With								
	nos.*										
		,									
	the drawings:		as originally filed/furnished								
	sheets 1/9-9/9										
	sheets*										
_	sheets*	_									
	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supple	mental Box Relating to Sequence Li	sting.								
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:										
	the description, pages										
	the claims, nos. 36-40										
	the drawings, sheets/figs										
	the sequence listing (specify):										
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):										
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the ame they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as	endments annexed to this report and filed, as indicated in the Supplement	listed below had not been made, since tal Box (Rule 70.2(c)).								
	the description, pages										
	the claims, nos.										
	the drawings, sheets/figs										
	the sequence listing (specify):										
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):										
* If	item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "s										

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008265

Box			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-35	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-35	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-35	YES
		Claims		мо

- Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - Although claims 1, 8, 9, 10, 13, 17, 21, 30 and 32 are drafted as separate independent claims, they seem in fact to relate to the same subject matter, the only apparent difference being in the definition of the subject matter for which protection is sought and in the terminology used for the features of the substantive matter.

 The claims are therefore not concise and fail to meet the requirement of PCT Article 6.
 - 1.1 This report makes reference to the following documents:

D1: WO 03/028963 A

D4: US-A-6 123 002

2 Although claim 1 is not clear (see point 1 above), it seems largely to contain the essential features of the invention.

D1 is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1. D1 discloses (the references in parentheses are to D1):

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008265

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

a slicing device for slicing food products, said device having a rotating cutting blade (10) that is mounted such that it can be displaced parallel to its rotational axis.

Thus the subject matter of claim 1 differs from this prior art in that it has a counterweight that can be displaced in the opposite direction of the cutting blade.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

2.1 The problem to be solved by the present invention can therefore be regarded as that of preventing the cutting device from vibrating.

> The solution to this problem as proposed in claim 1 of the present application involves an inventive step for the following reasons (PCT Article 33(3)):

> It is known in the field of web material cutting to balance a rotating cutting blade, which is mounted such that it can be displaced parallel to its rotational axis, by means of a counterweight that can be displaced in the opposite direction of the cutting blade (see D4: column 8, lines 40-50). In a cutting device according to D4, however, the conveying elements and the cutting elements are designed to cut web material. Since this material

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008265

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

has a different consistency than food product blocks, a cutting device according to D4 cannot be used for cutting food product blocks, and the prior art does not contain anything that would suggest that a device according to D4 could be made suitable for cutting food products.

Furthermore, the solution in this known device (D4) is for balancing a blade in motion. It is therefore not obvious to apply this solution to the problem of moving the blade without the food product being cut because a blade moved such that it makes these empty cuts has to be operated in an entirely different way in comparison with D4.

- Claim 13 relates to the use of a device according to claim 1. Thus, for corresponding reasons (see points 2 and 2.1 above), the subject matter of method claim 13 also meets the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step (PCT Article 33(2) and 33(3)).
- For the purpose of this report, all independent and dependent claims were regarded as containing all essential features of claim 1 or 13 and, under these conditions, meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step.